

Non-persistence risk and health care resource utilization of Italian patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation

NAZARENA CATALDO¹, VALERIA PEGORARO¹, CLAUDIO RIPELLINO², VALENTINO BERTASI³, ROBERTA DI TURI⁴, MAURIZIO PASTORELLO⁵, MARCO GAMBERA⁶

¹IQVIA, Milano; ²RWE HEOR Consultant, Milano; ³Azienda ULSS 22 Bussolengo; ⁴ASL Roma 3; ⁵ASP di Palermo; ⁶ATS di Bergamo.

Received: november 9th 2017; accepted: november 22nd 2017.

Summary. The aim of this study is to compare discontinuation risk and health care resource utilization between vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) and non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) in newly treated patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF). Based on administrative databases of five Italian Local Healthcare Units, all patients with a discharge diagnosis of NVAF between 2011 and 2014 were selected. Among them, the incident users of NOACs and VKAs in 2014 were followed-up to from the first prescription date to the occurrence of anyone of the following events: a 90-day gap in therapy, switch to a different molecule or add-on of a different molecule into the regimen, death of patient, end of follow-up (December 2015). All-cause hospitalizations, outpatient visits and examinations within the persistence period were also evaluated. The final cohort was composed of 2909 and 765 incident users of VKA and NOACs, respectively. Cox regression to model time to non-persistence within 12 months showed a 62% reduction in risk of drug discontinuation in NOAC patients compared to VKA patients (HR, 0.38 [0.33-0.44]). In the adjusted analyses with warfarin as reference, apixaban patients (HR, 0.35 [0.24-0.50]) had the lowest risk of non-persistence, followed by rivaroxaban (HR, 0.42 [0.33-0.54]) and dabigatran users (HR, 0.51 [0.43-0.61]). The mean total numbers of all-cause hospitalization records in 12-month persistent patients were significantly less in NOACs users compared with VKA users (0.36 vs 0.47, p-value: 0.03). Similarly, the differences in the mean numbers of all-cause visits and examinations were statistically significant between VKA and NOAC patients, who registered on average 2.33 vs 1.84 visits (p-value: 0.01) and 24.4 vs 9.2 exams referrals (p-value: <0.0001), respectively. NOACs showed a better profile in terms of both resource utilization and persistence compared with VKAs. In particular, apixaban returned the lowest risk of discontinuation than dabigatran and rivaroxaban.

Key words. Atrial fibrillation, Italy, NOAC, NVAF, VKA, persistence, resource utilization.

Rischio di non persistenza e utilizzo delle risorse sanitarie in pazienti italiani con fibrillazione atriale non valvolare.

Riassunto. Il presente studio intende confrontare il rischio di non persistenza e l'utilizzo delle risorse sanitarie nei pazienti con fibrillazione atriale non valvolare (FANV) naïve al trattamento con antagonisti della vitamina K (AVK) o con nuovi anticoagulanti orali (NAO). Partendo dai database amministrativi di cinque ASL italiane, sono stati selezionati e inclusi nello studio tutti i pazienti con almeno una dimissione ospedaliera per FANV dal 2011 al 2014. Tra questi, i nuovi utilizzatori di AVK o NAO nel 2014 sono stati identificati e seguiti a partire dalla data della prima prescrizione al verificarsi di uno qualsiasi dei seguenti eventi: interruzione della terapia superiore a 90 giorni, passaggio o aggiunta di una molecola differente rispetto a quella prescritta alla data indice, morte del paziente, fine del follow-up (dicembre 2015). I due gruppi sono stati, infine, confrontati per il numero medio di ospedalizzazioni per tutte le cause, di visite specialistiche e di esami effettuati nel periodo di persistenza alla terapia. La coorte finale era composta da 2909 nuovi pazienti trattati con AVK e 765 nuovi pazienti trattati con NAO. I risultati del modello di regressione di Cox hanno evidenziato una riduzione del rischio di non persistenza pari al 62% per i pazienti NAO rispetto ai pazienti AVK (HR, 0,38 [0,33-0,44]). Nel modello di confronto tra molecole con warfarin come gruppo di riferimento, i pazienti apixaban hanno mostrato il minor rischio di non persistenza (HR, 0,35 [0,24-0,50]), seguiti dai pazienti trattati con rivaroxaban (HR, 0,42 [0,33-0,54]) e dabigatran (HR, 0,51 [0,43-0,61]). In media, il numero di ospedalizzazioni per tutte le cause rilevato nei pazienti persistenti a 12 mesi si è mostrato significativamente inferiore tra i pazienti NAO rispetto ai pazienti AVK (0,36 vs 0,47, p-value: 0,03). Similmente, le differenze riscontrate nel numero medio di visite ed esami specialistici sono risultate statisticamente significative tra i pazienti AVK e NAO, i quali hanno registrato in media 2,33 vs 1,84 visite e 24,4 vs 9,2 esami (p-value: <0,0001), rispettivamente. I pazienti NAO hanno mostrato sia un minore rischio di interruzione del trattamento, sia un minore consumo di risorse rispetto ai pazienti AVK. Infine, tra i NAO in analisi, apixaban ha mostrato il minore rischio di discontinuità alla terapia.

Parole chiave. AVK, FANV, fibrillazione atriale, Italia, NAO, persistenza, utilizzo delle risorse.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a heart rhythm disorder characterized by an irregular heartbeat (arrhythmia) and represents one of the major causes of stroke, heart failure, blood clots and cardiovascular-related morbidity worldwide¹. The term 'non-valvular' describes cases where rhythm disturbance is not associated with mitral stenosis that has been the leading cause of AF and mechanical prosthetic heart valves in the past². AF prevalence stands at around 3% of adults aged ≥ 20 years, with higher prevalence rates in males (ratio of 1.2:1) and older people (10-17% of those aged 80 years or older)³. This percentage is expected to rise in the coming years owing to the augmented detection of silent AF as well as the population's increased longevity⁴⁻⁶. People suffering from AF are associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality and morbidity, such as heart failure and stroke⁷⁻⁹. In addition, reduced exercise capacity and left ventricular dysfunction entail a deterioration in the quality of life which, alongside with cognitive impairment and depressed mood, are associated with subsequent high rates of hospitalizations and 1.5 fold higher health care resource utilization and AF-related costs¹⁰⁻¹⁸. The milestones of AF management include anticoagulation and rhythm and rate control, even if the latter has been found to improve AF-related symptoms, but without reducing long-term morbidity or mortality^{19,20}. Oral anticoagulant therapy with vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) or novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) is crucial in reducing stroke and mortality in AF patients, particularly in those with pre-existing stroke risk factors, e.g. CHA₂DS₂-VAsc score of 1 or more for men, and 2 or more for women^{21,22}. For as many as 50 years, warfarin has been the most widely used oral anticoagulant and, for patients who are not suitable for or choose not to take an anticoagulant, an antiplatelet therapy was recommended^{23,24}. Moreover, the 2016 ESC guidelines on NVAf recommend the use on NOACs in preference to VKAs when oral anticoagulant therapy is initiated because of their greater ease of use, fewer drug-drug and drug-food interactions and a better safety and efficacy profiles without need for regular anticoagulation monitoring and dose adjustments¹. More recently, other studies have also shown significantly higher non-persistence rates and healthcare resource utilization (i.e., hospitalizations, emergency room visits and physician office visits) with VKA-treated users compared with NOACs²⁵⁻²⁸, but very little is known about the Italian experience about these issues²⁹. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate oral anticoagulant (OAC) usage and understand AF management in the Italian real world setting using large administrative databases. For this purpose, an observational real-world study was designed to compare the non-persistence risk at 1 year between VKA and NOAC users, as well as among the users of four molecules of interest (i.e., warfarin, apixaban, rivaroxaban, dabigatran) in new-

ly treated patients with non-valvular AF (NVAf). Furthermore, demographic characterization and health care resource utilization associated with OACs were also investigated.

Methods

DATA SOURCE

This retrospective study was based on administrative databases of five Local Healthcare Units (LHUs) distributed over the Italian territory (Bergamo and Bussolengo - Northern, Piacenza and Roma - Central, Palermo - Southern). The involved LHUs account for over 3.5 million registered inhabitants out of 59.7 million inhabitants registered in Italy (2014 census). A unique identifier linked the different databases of each LHU that provided information on hospital discharge records, flow of drugs from pharmacies in the area, outpatient database, patients' demographics and death registry. Diagnoses and procedures associated with hospital utilization were recorded using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM), while prescription forms registered information on strength, number of tablets, and date of dispensation according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Classification codes (ATC).

The start of the project followed the approval by the pertinent Ethical Committees and Competent Authorities, in accordance with all the regulations in force and regulatory requirements.

STUDY POPULATION

All patients with at least one hospitalization related to a primary or secondary NVAf diagnosis (ICD9 code 427.31) between 1st January 2009 and 31st December 2014 were selected. Exclusion criteria were the presence of at least one hospitalization with a discharge diagnosis of heart valve surgery (DRG 104-105) and/or mitral and/or aortic valve disease (ICD9 code 394, 396). The final cohort consisted of patients who started treatment with an OAC or antiplatelet during 2014 and the date of the first prescription during this period constituted the entry date in the cohort (index date). The study was performed on treatment-naïve users, who were defined as patients without prescriptions of the same molecule in the year preceding the index date.

EXPOSURE DEFINITION AND OUTCOMES

Once retrieved the incident OAC and antiplatelet users, three groups were defined according to the first treatment class initiated in the study period: VKA (warfarin, acenocoumarol), NOAC (apixaban, dabigatran, rivaroxaban) and antiplatelet class (acetylsalicylic acid, ticlopidine, dipyridamole, clo-

pidogrel, prasugrel, ticagrelor). Demographic and clinical characteristics of the included patients were investigated in the 12-month period preceding the index date. For the primary outcome, i.e. non-persistence risk within 12 months (follow-up period), the end of the exposure period was determined by therapy discontinuation, switch to a different molecule or add-on of a different molecule into the regimen, whichever occurred first. A patient exceeding the 90-day permissible gap between refilling prescriptions defined discontinuation of therapy. In addition, patients whose last claim occurred 90 days or less before the end of the follow-up were considered persistent throughout the follow-up period. Finally, warfarin use was determined using information from both prescriptions and INR/PT claims. In fact, even when gaps between prescriptions claims were longer than 90 days, we considered the patient to be on drug with warfarin as well in case INR/PT measurements were recorded within the gap duration. Patients were censored at the first event (discontinuation/switch/add-on), death or end of the study (31 December 2015), whichever occurred first. The total number of days on therapy for each molecule was quantified by means of the Defined Daily Dose (DDD). Antiplatelet class was included neither in the persistence analysis nor in the health care resource utilization analyses given the nature of data sources. In fact, LHUs supplied aggregated data on billable claims only and, for this reason, not-reimbursed drugs could not be fully monitored by administrative databases. For the secondary outcome, i.e. health care resource utilization analysis, all-cause hospitalization, outpatient visits and examination requests within the persistence period were also collected.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Baseline characteristics, i.e. age, gender, CHA₂DS₂-VASc score and HAS-BLED score, were summarized as frequencies and percentages (qualitative variables), and as mean and standard deviation (SD) (quantitative variables) and were stratified by treatment class (VKAs, NOACs and antiplatelets). The proportion of patients who were persistent over the course of follow-up was calculated and cumulative incidence curves of non-persistence within 12 months, accounting for the competing risk of death, were presented for both VKAs and NOACs groups. Multivariate Cox regression methods to model time to non-persistence within 12 months were performed; covariates included in the model were the treatment group (NOACs versus VKA), age, sex, CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED score, and proportionality of hazards was tested. An additional analysis evaluated the primary outcomes with a focus on four molecules of interest (warfarin, apixaban, rivaroxaban, and dabigatran) with patients grouped based on the molecule received. Thus, a second multivariate Cox regression model was run including, together with the other co-

variates, a different variable accounting for treatment group, with warfarin being the reference molecule. Finally, the mean number of all-cause hospitalizations, outpatient visits and examination requests were compared among NOACs versus VKA using 1-sample t test. All the analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4.

Results

According to inclusion criteria, we identified 7970 NVAF patients who initiated treatment with an OAC or an antiplatelet. In particular, 2909 patients (36.1%) were treated with VKAs, 765 with NOACs (9.5%) and 4296 with antiplatelet (53.3%). Demographic characteristics were quite similar among the three groups, with a slightly higher proportion of females and older patients observed in the antiplatelet group. High risk of bleeding (HAS-BLED score of ≥ 3) was more observed among antiplatelet patients (34.1%) when compared with OAC users (VKA: 21.2%; NOAC: 18.8%), and the same for stroke risk. In fact, the proportion of patients presenting with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score ≥ 2 ranged from 84.7% in the antiplatelet cohort to 80.4% and 78.4% in the NOAC and VKA groups, respectively (table 1).

Cumulative incidence curves of discontinuation for NOACs and VKAs are presented in figure 1 suggesting a better persistence profile of NOAC throughout the follow-up period. In particular, the proportion of 12-month persistent patients was 67.8% among NOAC users and 37.2% among VKA users. Results from the multivariate Cox regression model showed a 62% reduction in risk of drug discontinuation for NOAC patients compared to VKA patients (HR, 0.38 [0.33-0.44]). No other factors affected the risk of discontinuation, except for patients aged 50-64 with a barely significant 19% reduction in risk compared with 80 years or older patients (HR, 0.81 [0.67-0.96]) (table 2). The additional analysis focusing on the four molecules of interest showed a higher persistence at 1 year since drug initiation among apixaban users (n=92; 75.4%), followed by rivaroxaban (n=152; 68.8%), dabigatran (n=275; 65.2%) and warfarin (n=935; 43.3%) patients. Cumulative incidence curves to illustrate the rate of non-persistence over the follow-up time for each molecule are reported in figure 2. Proportional hazards assumption was verified and results from the Cox regression model showed that all the patients treated with a NOAC molecule had statistically significant lower risk of non-persistence when compared with warfarin (table 3). In particular, as suggested by the highest proportion of 12-month persistent patients, it is worth noticing that apixaban users showed the highest reduction in risk of drug discontinuation (65%) when compared with rivaroxaban and dabigatran users (58% and 49%, respectively). Again, among the covariates considered, only age had a significant effect on non-persistence with a significant higher risk

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population.

	VKA	NOAC	Antiplatelet
No. of patients	2909	765	4296
Male (n, %)	1518 (52.2)	409 (53.5)	2130 (49.6)
Age (mean, SD)	74.7 (11)	76.4 (9)	77.6 (10)
Age <50 (n, %)	69 (2.4)	10 (1.3)	53 (1.2)
Age 50-64 (n, %)	370 (12.7)	65 (8.5)	399 (9.3)
Age 65-79 (n, %)	1406 (48.3)	381 (49.8)	1777 (41.4)
Age 80+ (n, %)	1064 (36.6)	309 (40.4)	2067 (48.1)
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score (mean, SD)	2.7 (1)	2.8 (2)	3.0 (2)
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score <2 (n, %)	628 (21.6)	150 (19.6)	656 (15.3)
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score ≥2 (n, %)	2281 (78.4)	615 (80.4)	3640 (84.7)
HAS-BLED (mean, SD)	1.8 (1)	1.8 (1)	2.4 (1)
HAS-BLED score <3 (n, %)	2292 (78.8)	621 (81.2)	2830 (65.9)
HAS-BLED score ≥3 (n, %)	617 (21.2)	144 (18.8)	1466 (34.1)

Table 2. Multivariate Cox regression model (ref. VKA) of non-persistence within 12 months – Drug class.

	HR	95% CI	
		Lower	Upper
Index drug class (ref. category: VKA)			
NOAC (*)	0.38	0.33	0.44
Demographics at baseline			
Female	1.01	0.92	1.11
Age <50 vs Age 80+	1.02	0.76	1.37
Age 50-64 vs Age 80+ (*)	0.81	0.67	0.96
Age 65-79 vs Age 80+	0.98	0.73	1.31
Clinical factors at baseline			
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc ≥2	0.91	0.79	1.05
HAS-BLED ≥3	0.99	0.89	1.11

HR: hazard ratio; VKA: vitamin K-antagonist; NOAC: non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants.
 (*) Significant at the 0.05 level.

among the elderly (age 80+) compared with patients aged 50-64 (HR, 0.71 [0.57-0.89]) and 65-79 (HR, 0.80 [0.71-0.90]). Health care resource utilization analysis showed that, independently of treatment class at index date, the majority of 12-month persistent patients (n=1.601) had at least one outpatient visit and examination request within the persistence period (69.2%

Table 3. Multivariate Cox regression model (ref. warfarin) of non-persistence within 12 months – Molecule.

	HR	95% CI	
		Lower	Upper
Index molecule (ref. category: warfarin)			
Dabigatran (*)	0.51	0.43	0.61
Rivaroxaban (*)	0.42	0.33	0.54
Apixaban (*)	0.35	0.24	0.50
Demographics at baseline			
Female	1.02	0.91	1.14
Age <50 vs Age 80+	1.05	0.75	1.46
Age 50-64 vs Age 80+ (*)	0.71	0.57	0.89
Age 65-79 vs Age 80+ (*)	0.80	0.71	0.90
Clinical factors at baseline			
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc ≥2	0.85	0.71	1.00
HAS-BLED ≥3	0.98	0.86	1.12

HR: hazard ratio.
 (*) Significant at the 0.05 level.

and 98.4% of incident users, respectively). A slightly higher percentage of VKA patients returned at least one of the abovementioned health care resource utilization parameters when compared with NOAC users (figure 3). All-cause hospitalizations were found in 356 of 1082 VKA patients (32.9%) and in 147 of 519 NOAC patients (28.3%). Mean total numbers of all-




Figure 1. Cumulative incidence of non-persistence according to drug class at index date taking into account competing risk of death.




Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of non-persistence according to the molecule prescribed at index date taking into account competing risk of death.




Figure 3. Percentage of 12-month persistent patients with at least one hospitalization, outpatient visit and examination request (total, VKA and NOAC users).

cause hospitalization records were significantly less in NOACs users compared with VKA users (0.36 vs 0.47, p-value: 0.01). Similarly, the differences in the mean numbers of all-cause outpatient visits and examinations were statistically significant between VKA and NOAC patients, who registered on average 2.33 vs 1.84 visits (p-value: 0.0002) and 24.4 vs 9.2 exams referrals (p-value: <0.0001), respectively (table 4).

Discussion

The major finding emerging from the present study is the better profile of NOAC patients compared with VKA users in terms of: 1) non-persistence risk within 12 months, 2) health care resource consumption within the persistence period.

Poor persistence with VKAs, in particular with warfarin, was already assessed by previous studies worldwide: an Australian study by Simons et al.³⁰ found that 62% of warfarin patients discontinued the treatment within 12 months compared with 30% of NOAC patients, thus being in strong agreement with our findings returning 62.8% and 32.2% of discontinuation among VKA and NOAC users, respectively. A Spanish retrospective study using similar methodology to ours found that VKA drugs were the most prescribed treatments and, at the same time, the ones returning the shortest persistence among newly diagnosed NVAF patients at the first-line of therapy³¹. However, it is worth mentioning that results on persistence at one year since treatment start in our study are quite low when focusing on warfarin. In fact, even if similarly to previous studies³²⁻³⁴, we in-

Table 4. Health care resource utilization on 12-month persistent users stratified by treatment at index date.

Treatment at Index Date	Number of Health Care Resources	Health Care Resource Utilization		
		Mean Number	SD	Pr > t
Outpatient visit				
NOAC	955	1.84	0.09	0.0002
VKA	2524	2.33	0.09	
Laboratory examination				
NOAC	4796	9.24	7.90	<0.0001
VKA	26,347	24.35	16.59	
Hospitalization				
NOAC	189	0.36	0.66	0.0058
VKA	507	0.47	0.80	

SD: Standard Deviation.

cluded INR/PT measurements as a proxy of warfarin fills, the proportion of warfarin patients that was persistent at one year since treatment start is still low. A recent UK study by Johnson et al.³⁵ assessed an overall persistence of 70.6% among warfarin patients that is much higher than the 43% observed in the present study. A possible explanation is that, in the UK study, when calculating VKA persistence, the median duration observed for VKA prescriptions was added as many times as the number of INR records found. In addition, also a 30-day grace period was added to the end of the treatment line. Differently, in the present study, INR/PT records did not account for additional days of persistence and grace periods were not considered. In conclusion, despite discrepancies in the magnitude of persistence values potentially due to the different methodologies used, the high non-persistence risk assessed among VKA patients is in line with the recent European literature³⁶⁻³⁸, as well as with those studies reporting discontinuation at one year in more than 1 out of 4 patients^{26,39,40}. In recent years, and precisely since the introduction of multiple NOACs, the interest in comparing persistence among these new drugs has considerably grown. In this respect, another important result from the present study is the higher reduction in the risk of drug discontinuation observed for apixaban when compared to rivaroxaban and dabigatran. Accordingly, indications of improved persistence rates with apixaban over the other OACs emerged from recent real-world studies based on administrative and claims database in Denmark⁴¹, Sweden⁴², US⁴³ and Italy²⁹.

To our knowledge, very few studies attempted to compare health care resource utilization between NOAC and VKA users. In addition, these studies were mostly focused on specific molecules, rather than on classes of treatment. Two recent studies performed in US and Canada and based on administrative health care claims databases compared dabigatran vs warfarin and rivaroxaban vs warfarin, respectively^{44,45}. The main outcomes considered were the mean number of all-cause hospitalizations and outpatient visits. Both the two NOACs showed a lower resource utilization with respect to warfarin and this has been confirmed by our findings but from a treatment class-level point of view. In addition, the almost 3-fold higher mean number of laboratory tests associated with VKAs when compared with NOACs from the present study also confirm the well-known necessity of frequent and long-term laboratory monitoring required for warfarin patients⁴⁶, which has also recently promoted NOACs as a cost-saving alternative to VKAs⁴⁷.

The main strength of the present study is the validity of the overarching source of information used. Administrative databases indubitably represent a valuable source for recording and monitoring consumptions that are at the expense of the Italian National Health Service, as they give information on most services provided in a health care environment^{29,44}. Furthermore, conducting studies based on secondary-data is the suitable approach for assessing

important outcomes in the real world setting. Under this perspective, claims databases are the most proper source of data for estimating AF management and treatment patterns also allowing to control for potential systematic differences between treatment groups in terms of patient characteristics or clinical prognostic factors.

Nevertheless, the study also presents some limitations, typical of the real world evidence studies. First, treatment exposure is based on prescribed and dispensed prescriptions by pharmacies and no information on actual use of the drugs is available. Second, stroke, as well as bleeding risk at baseline, was assessed through the hospitalization discharge forms; for this reason, only patients requiring an hospitalization, i.e. the most severe cases, were included in the CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED score definition. Third, the unavailability of INR values together with the impossibility of assessing dose adjustments did not allow for an accurate estimation of days of persistence for warfarin patients. In fact, an out-of-range INR value could imply an adjustment in treatment dosage that cannot be well detected when calculating treatment duration based on DDD. Finally, we focused on patients who are naïve to the OAC molecule considered in each treatment group, whilst different results could have been obtained including patients that are naïve for the entire OAC class.

Conclusions

Our study provides important real-world insights in a large cohort of Italian patients confirming both the higher medium-term persistence rates and the lower

Take home messages

- Very little was known about the Italian experience about non-persistence rates and health care resource utilization with VKA-treated users compared with NOACs.
- In a large cohort of Italian patients, the proportion of 12-month persistent patients was 67.8% among NOAC users and 37.2% among VKA users. Results from the multivariate Cox regression model showed a 62% reduction in risk of drug discontinuation for NOAC patients compared to VKA patients.
- Among NOAC drugs, apixaban presented the lowest risk of discontinuation compared with dabigatran and rivaroxaban.
- Mean total numbers of all-cause hospitalization records, all-cause outpatient visits and examination referrals were significantly less in NOAC users compared with VKA users.
- The present study has provided valuable additional evidence that supports both the higher medium-term persistence rates and the lower health care resource consumption of NOACs compared with VKAs.

health care resource consumption of NOACs compared with VKAs. Among NOAC drugs, apixaban presented the lowest risk of discontinuation compared with dabigatran and rivaroxaban. Future studies allowing for a longer follow-up since apixaban commercialization should be encouraged to evaluate whether results from the present study could be extended to a long-term evaluation.

Conflict of interests: this study was sponsored by Pfizer and Bristol-Myers Squibb. The authors have no other conflict of interest with regard to the content of this article.

References

- Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37: 2893-962.
- Fuster V, Rydén LE, Cannom DS, et al. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 Guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology. *Europace* 2006; 8: 651-745.
- Zoni-Berisso M, Lercari F, Carazza T, Domenicucci S. Epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: European perspective. *Clin Epidemiol* 2014; 6: 213-20.
- Wang TJ, Larson MG, Levy D, et al. Temporal relations of atrial fibrillation and congestive heart failure and their joint influence on mortality: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2003; 107: 2920-5.
- Kishore A, Vail A, Majid A, et al. Detection of atrial fibrillation after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. *Stroke* 2014; 45: 520-6.
- Sanna T, Diener HC, Passman RS, et al.; CRYSTAL AF Investigators. Cryptogenic stroke and underlying atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 2478-86.
- Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Study. *Stroke* 1991; 22: 983-8.
- Stewart S, Hart CL, Hole DJ, McMurray JJ. A population-based study of the long-term risks associated with atrial fibrillation: 20-year follow-up of the Renfrew/Paisley study. *Am J Med* 2002; 113: 359-64.
- Krahn AD, Manfreda J, Tate RB, Mathewson FA, Cuddy TE. The natural history of atrial fibrillation: incidence, risk factors, and prognosis in the Manitoba Follow-Up Study. *Am J Med* 1995; 98: 476-84.
- Camm AJ, Kirchhof P, Lip GY, et al. Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the Task Force for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31: 2369-429.
- Ott A, Breteler MM, de Bruyne MC, van Harskamp F, Grobbee DE, Hofman A. Atrial fibrillation and dementia in a population-based study. *The Rotterdam Study. Stroke* 1997; 28: 316-21.
- Knecht S, Oelschläger C, Duning T, et al. Atrial fibrillation in stroke-free patients is associated with memory impairment and hippocampal atrophy. *Eur Heart J* 2008; 29: 2125-32.
- Ball J, Carrington MJ, Stewart S; SAFETY investigators. Mild cognitive impairment in high-risk patients with chronic atrial fibrillation: a forgotten component of clinical management? *Heart* 2013; 99: 542-7.
- Marzona I, O'Donnell M, Teo K, et al. Increased risk of cognitive and functional decline in patients with atrial fibrillation: results of the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND studies. *CMAJ* 2012; 184: E329-36.
- Thrall G, Lane D, Carroll D, Lip GY. Quality of life in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. *Am J Med* 2006; 119: 448.e1-19.
- von Eisenhart Rothe A, Hutt F, Baumert J, et al. Depressed mood amplifies heart-related symptoms in persistent and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation patients: a longitudinal analysis: data from the German Competence Network on Atrial Fibrillation. *Europace* 2015; 17: 1354-62.
- Steinberg BA, Kim S, Fonarow GC, et al. Drivers of hospitalization for patients with atrial fibrillation: results from the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (ORBIT-AF). *Am Heart J* 2014; 167: 735-42.
- Kirchhof P, Schmalowsky J, Pittrow D, et al.; ATRIUM Study Group. Management of patients with atrial fibrillation by primary-care physicians in Germany: 1-year results of the ATRIUM registry. *Clin Cardiol* 2014; 37: 277-84.
- Kirchhof P, Breithardt G, Camm AJ, et al. Improving outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation: rationale and design of the Early treatment of Atrial fibrillation for Stroke prevention Trial. *Am Heart J* 2013; 166: 442-8.
- Al-Khatib SM, LaPointe NMA, Chatterjee R, et al. Rate- and rhythm-control therapies in patients with atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2014; 160: 760-73.
- Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 146: 857-67.
- Hylek EM, Go AS, Chang Y, et al. Effect of intensity of oral anticoagulation on stroke severity and mortality in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349: 1019-26.
- Guyatt GH, Norris SL, Schulman S, et al. Methodology for the development of antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis guidelines: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012; 141 (2 suppl): 53S-70S.
- Camm AJ, Lip GY, De Caterina R, et al. 2012 focused update of the ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: an update of the 2010 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association. *Eur Heart J* 2012; 33: 2719-47.
- Macedo AF, Bell J, McCarron C, Conroy R, et al. Determinants of oral anticoagulation control in new warfarin patients: analysis using data from Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *Thromb Res* 2015; 136: 250-60.
- Fang MC, Go AS, Chang Y, et al. Warfarin discontinuation after starting warfarin for atrial fibrillation. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2010; 3: 624-31.
- O'Brien EC, Simon DN, Allen LA, et al. Reasons for warfarin discontinuation in the Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation (ORBIT-AF). *Am Heart J* 2014; 168: 487-94.
- Song X, Gandhi P, Gilligan AM, et al. Comparison of all-cause, stroke, and bleed-specific healthcare resource utilization among patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAf) and newly treated with dabigatran or warfarin. *Expert Rev Pharmacoeconom Outcomes Res* 2017: 1-10.
- Vedovati MC, Verdecchia, P, Giustozzi M, et al. Permanent discontinuation of non vitamin K oral anticoagulants in real life patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol* 2017; 236: 363-9.
- Simons LA, Ortiz M, Freedman SB, Waterhouse BJ, Colquhoun D, Thomas G. Improved persistence with

- non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants compared with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: recent Australian experience. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2016; 32: 1857-61.
31. Lefevre C, Benhaddi H, Lacoïn L, et al. Persistence to vitamin-k antagonists (Vka) and novel oral anticoagulants (NOACS) in non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAf): an observational study using a comprehensive regional database in Catalonia, Spain. *Value Health* 2015; 18: A403.
 32. Shewale A, Johnson J, Li C, Nelsen D, Martin B. Variation in anticoagulant recommendations by the guidelines and decision tools among patients with atrial fibrillation. *Healthcare* 2015; 3: 130-45.
 33. Singer DE, Chang Y, Fang MC, et al. The net clinical benefit of warfarin anticoagulation in atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 151: 297-305.
 34. Go AS, Hylek EM, Chang Y, et al. Anticoagulation therapy for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: how well do randomized trials translate into clinical practice? *JAMA* 2003; 290: 2685-92.
 35. Johnson ME, Lefèvre C, Collings SL, et al. Early real-world evidence of persistence on oral anticoagulants for stroke prevention in non-valvular atrial fibrillation: a cohort study in UK primary care. *BMJ Open* 2016; 6: e011471.
 36. Martínez C, Katholing A, Wallenhorst C, et al. Therapy persistence in newly diagnosed non-valvular atrial fibrillation treated with warfarin or NOAC. A cohort study. *Thromb Haemost* 2016; 115: 31-9.
 37. Beyer-Westendorf J, Ehlken B, Evers T. Real-world persistence and adherence to oral anticoagulation for stroke risk reduction in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Europace* 2016; 18: 1150-7.
 38. Laliberté F, Cloutier M, Nelson WW, et al. Real-world comparative effectiveness and safety of rivaroxaban and warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation patients. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2014; 30: 1317-25.
 39. Zalesak M, Siu K, Francis K, et al. Higher persistence in newly diagnosed nonvalvular atrial fibrillation patients treated with dabigatran versus warfarin. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2013; 6: 567-74.
 40. de Andrés-Nogales F, Oyagüez I, Betegón-Nicolás L, Canal-Fontcuberta C, Soto-Álvarez J. Status of oral anticoagulant treatment in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation in Spain. REACT-AF Study. *Rev Clin Esp* 2015; 215: 73-82.
 41. Lamberts M, Staerk L, Olesen JB, et al. Major bleeding complications and persistence with oral anticoagulation in non-valvular atrial fibrillation: contemporary findings in real-life Danish patients. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017; 6: e004517.
 42. Forslund T, Wettermark B, Hjemdahl P. Comparison of treatment persistence with different oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2016; 72: 329-38.
 43. Pan X, Kachroo S, Liu X, et al. Real world discontinuation rates with apixaban versus warfarin, dabigatran, or rivaroxaban among atrial fibrillation patients newly initiated on anticoagulation therapy: early findings. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: A415.
 44. Reynolds SL, Ghate SR, Sheer R, et al. Healthcare utilization and costs for patients initiating dabigatran or warfarin. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2017; 15: 128.
 45. Laliberté F, Cloutier M, Crivera C, et al. Effects of rivaroxaban versus warfarin on hospitalization days and other health care resource utilization in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: an observational study from a cohort of matched users. *Clin Ther* 2015; 37: 554-62.
 46. Savelieva I, Camm AJ. Practical considerations for using novel oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Clin Cardiol* 2014; 37: 32-47.
 47. van Leent MW, Stevanović J, Jansman FG, Beinema MJ, Brouwers JR, Postma MJ. Cost-effectiveness of dabigatran compared to vitamin-K antagonists for the treatment of deep venous thrombosis in the Netherlands using real-world data. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0135054.